

<sup>3</sup>Guo, Z., and El-Genk, M. S., "An Experimental Study of Saturated Pool Boiling from Downward Facing and Inclined Surfaces," *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 35, No. 9, 1992, pp. 2109–2117.

<sup>4</sup>Yamada, T., Shigechi, T., Momoki, S., and Kanemaru, K., "Heat Transfer Correlation of Film Boiling from a Downward-Facing Horizontal Circular Plate," *Heat Transfer-Japanese Research*, Vol. 26, No. 7, 1997, pp. 459–468.

<sup>5</sup>Barrow, R. F., and Dergham, A. R., "Film Boiling to a Plate Facing Downward," *Advances in Cryogenics*, Vol. 33, 1987, pp. 355–362.

<sup>6</sup>Nishio, S., Himeji, Y., and Dhir, V. K., "Natural-Convection Film Boiling Heat Transfer: Second Report, Film Boiling from a Horizontal Flat Plate Facing Downward," *Proceedings of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers/Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers Thermal Engineering Conference*, Vol. 2, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, 1991, pp. 275–280.

<sup>7</sup>Walujastono, D., and Kamiuto, K., "Pool Film Heat Transfer from a Horizontal Circular Plate Facing Downward," *Nippon Kikaigakai Ronbunshu (Series B)*, Vol. 65, No. 637, 1999, pp. 3091–3096.

<sup>8</sup>Nishikawa, K., and Ito, T., "Two-Phase Boundary-Layer Treatment of Free-Convection Film Boiling," *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1966, pp. 103–115.

<sup>9</sup>Shigechi, T., Kawae, N., Tokita, Y., and Yamada, T., "Film Boiling Heat Transfer from a Horizontal Circular Plate Facing Downward," *JSME International Journal*, Vol. 32, No. 4, 1989, pp. 646–651.

<sup>10</sup>Touloukian, Y. S. (ed.), *Thermophysical Properties of High Temperature Solid Materials*, Vol. 2, Macmillan, New York, 1967, pp. 180, 181.

## Positivity of Entropy Production and Phase Density in the Chapman–Enskog Expansion

Henning Struchtrup\*

Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85282-1804

### Nomenclature

$C_k$	= peculiar velocity, $c_k - v_k$ , m/s
$C_{\max}$	= upper bound for peculiar velocity, m/s
$c_k$	= microscopic velocity, m/s
$f$	= phase density, $s^3/m^6$
$f_M$	= Maxwell distribution, $s^3/m^6$
$Kn$	= Knudsen number, $\eta/Lp\sqrt{(k/m)T}$
$k$	= Boltzmann's constant, $1.3804 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K
$L$	= typical length scale, m
$m$	= particle mass, kg
$p$	= pressure, N/m <sup>2</sup>
$S$	= collision term, $s^2/m^6$
$T$	= temperature, K
$t$	= time, s
$v_i$	= center of mass velocity, m/s
$x_k$	= space variable, m
$\partial v_{(i}/\partial x_{j)}$	= symmetric and trace free part of the velocity gradient, 1/s
$\eta$	= viscosity, kg/ms
$\xi$	= dimensionless velocity
$\rho$	= mass density, kg/m <sup>3</sup>
$\sigma$	= entropy production, J/K
$\Phi$	= nonequilibrium part of phase density
$\phi^{(n)}$	= expansion coefficients of $\Phi$

### Introduction

IN a recent paper Comeaux et al.<sup>1</sup> showed that the entropy production according to the Burnett equations may become negative.

Received 14 March 2000; revision received 27 November 2000; accepted for publication 18 December 2000. Copyright © 2001 by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. All rights reserved.

\*Visiting Professor, Department of Mathematics; struchtr@ima.umn.edu; currently Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 3P6, Canada; struchtr@me.uvic.ca.

This result stands in contradiction to Boltzmann's H-theorem, which states that the entropy production is positive for any distribution function  $f$ . In this Note we show that the negative production of entropy results from the use of approximative solutions of the Boltzmann equation outside their proper range and improper mathematics, that is, a series expansion that does not converge outside that range.

The behavior of rarefied gases is well described by the Boltzmann equation<sup>2,3</sup>

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + c_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} = S \quad (1)$$

where  $f$  is defined such that  $f \, d\mathbf{c} \, d\mathbf{x}$  gives the number of particles with velocities in  $(c_i, c_i + dc_i)$  in the space element  $(x_i, x_i + dx_i)$  at time  $t$ . The collision term  $S(f, f)$  describes the change of  $f$  as a result of collisions among the particles; the particular form of  $S$  can be found in the literature.<sup>2,3</sup>

Being a nonlinear integro-differential equation, the Boltzmann equation cannot be solved analytically and must either be treated numerically or with approximations. The latter is usually done by the Chapman–Enskog method<sup>3</sup> or by Grad's moment method.<sup>4,5</sup>

The Chapman–Enskog method uses a series expansion into powers of the Knudsen number  $Kn$ , with the Euler equations as solution of order zero, the Navier–Stokes equation as first-order correction, and the so-called Burnett equations for the second-order approximation. Thus, from the derivation it is clear that the equations—Euler, Navier–Stokes, or Burnett—have only a finite range of applicability (defined by the Knudsen number) and cannot serve for the description of any processes in a gas. Besides, the Burnett equations become unstable for steep gradients.<sup>6</sup>

Boltzmann's celebrated H-theorem<sup>2,3</sup> states that the entropy production

$$\sigma = -k \int S \ln f \, d\mathbf{c} \quad (2)$$

is positive for all phase densities  $f$ . The proof relies on the particular form of the collision term  $S$  and can be found in the cited literature.

Thus, the findings in Ref. 1, a negative entropy production, are in contradiction to the H-theorem. This discrepancy will be discussed in the following.

### Positivity of Phase Density and Entropy Production

The Chapman–Enskog method is an expansion in terms of the Knudsen number  $Kn$ , which gives the ratio between the mean free path of a gas particle and a typical macroscopic length scale. The method yields the phase density<sup>2,3</sup>

$$f = f_M(1 + \Phi) \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi = Kn\phi^{(1)} + Kn^2\phi^{(2)} + \dots \quad (3)$$

$$f_M = (\rho/m)\sqrt{m/2\pi kT} \exp[-(m/2kT)C^2] \quad (4)$$

The  $\phi^{(n)}$  are products between polynomials in the peculiar velocity and derivatives in space and time of temperature and velocity.<sup>3</sup> The simplest example is given by the first Chapman–Enskog expansion for Maxwell molecules where<sup>3,1</sup>

$$\Phi = -\frac{\eta}{p(k/m)T} C_i C_j \frac{\partial v_{(i}}{\partial x_{j)}} + \frac{15}{4} \frac{\eta}{pT} \left(1 - \frac{1}{5} \frac{m}{kT} C^2\right) C_k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_k} \quad (5)$$

The phase densities for higher-order expansions (Burnett, Super-Burnett) can be found in the literature.

Because of its definition as a number density in phase space, the phase density ought to be positive, and, moreover, the definition in Eq. (2) only makes sense for positive  $f$ . The Chapman–Enskog expansion, Eq. (3), however, will become negative because  $\Phi$  will fall below  $(-1)$  for large  $C$ .

In the proper range of applicability, the gradients of temperature and velocity are rather small, and  $\Phi$  falls below  $(-1)$  only for very large  $C$ . However,  $\Phi$  is always multiplied by the Maxwellian (4),

which suppresses the negative contributions for the large velocities in question.

To fix our arguments, we introduce a velocity bound  $C_{\max}$  defined such that  $f \simeq 0$  for  $C > C_{\max}$  and demand that

$$\Phi > -1 \quad \text{for} \quad C < C_{\max} \quad (6)$$

Because of the polynomial structure of  $\Phi$ , this requirement is tantamount to

$$|\Phi| < 1 \quad \text{for} \quad C < C_{\max} \quad (7)$$

With this in mind, we turn the attention to the entropy production Eq. (2). Because of conservation of mass, momentum, and energy,  $\int S \ell_n f_M dc = 0$  (Ref. 7), and we find the entropy production as

$$\sigma = -k \int S \ell_n (1 + \Phi) dc \quad (8)$$

This equation makes sense under the assumption that  $C_{\max}$  is a suitable bound for the collision term  $S$  as well so that  $S$  is negligible above  $C_{\max}$ , where the argument of the logarithm becomes negative.

The condition of Eq. (7) is the prerequisite for expanding the logarithm into a Taylor series, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= -k \int S \left( \Phi - \frac{\Phi^2}{2} + \dots \right) dc \\ &= -k \int S \left\{ K n \phi^{(1)} + K n^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\phi^{(1)})^2 + \phi^{(2)} \right] + \dots \right\} dc \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We emphasize that the series does not converge if  $|\Phi| \geq 1$ . In other words, the series expansion is wrong if the requirement of Eq. (7) is violated.

Thus, if Eq. (7) holds, everything turns out well. In particular, we are allowed to compute the entropy production by means of the expansion in Eq. (9). On the other hand, if Eq. (7) is not fulfilled, the expansion is not allowed and will, if used, give incorrect results, for example, a negative value for the entropy production. This, indeed, is the explanation for the results of Ref. 1, where the preceding expansion is used, but the finite radius of convergence is left out of consideration.

Clearly, the condition of Eq. (7) is not easy to evaluate, in particular because one has to provide the bound  $C_{\max}$  first. As an example, we consider the first Chapman–Enskog expansion for Maxwell molecules of Eq. (5). In this case the condition of Eq. (7) can be written as

$$\frac{\eta}{p} \left| \xi_i \xi_j \frac{\partial v_{ij}}{\partial x_j} \right| \leq 1, \quad \frac{15}{4} \frac{\eta}{p \sqrt{(m/k)T}} \left| \xi_k \left( 1 - \frac{\xi^2}{5} \right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_k} \right| \leq 1 \quad (10)$$

where  $\xi_i$  is a suitable dimensionless velocity with absolute value of the order of  $C_{\max}/\sqrt{[(k/m)T]}$ . The first of these conditions resembles the conditions of Ref. 1 for positive entropy production in the Burnett case, and we can consider our preceding arguments as the explanation of these conditions.

For the first-order expansion, however, only the first term in the series (9) is required for the entropy production

$$\sigma = -k \int S \Phi dc \quad (11)$$

which turns out to be positive for all gradients of temperature and velocity.<sup>3</sup> Because the expansion is not allowed if the conditions of Eqs. (7) or (10) are violated, this result must be seen as a mere coincidence. See also Ref. 8, where the authors show that the realizability of some moments of the first-order Chapman–Enskog phase density is not ensured if the gradients become too steep.

Finally, we would like to point out that the computation of the entropy production from Grad's moment method faces similar problems.

## Conclusions

We summarize our results as follows:

1) The Chapman–Enskog method does not ensure the positivity of the phase density in general. This poses no problem if the method is applied in the permitted range of processes.

2) A negative entropy production may occur as a result of a negative phase density and improper mathematics (nonconverging series).

3) Therefore, a negative entropy production shows that the approximation is overstressed and as such serves as an indicator for the applicability of the model.<sup>1</sup>

## References

- <sup>1</sup>Comeaux, K. A., Chapman, D. R., and MacCormack, R. W., "An Analysis of the Burnett Equations Based on the Second Law of Thermodynamics," AIAA Paper 95-0415, 1995.
- <sup>2</sup>Cercignani, C., *Theory and Application of the Boltzmann Equation*, Scottish Academic Press, Edinburgh, 1975, pp. 40, 232.
- <sup>3</sup>Chapman, S., and Cowling, T. G., *The Mathematical Theory of Non-Uniform Gases*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England, U.K., 1970, pp. 46, 107.
- <sup>4</sup>Grad, H., "On the Kinetic Theory of Rarefied Gases," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 2, Wiley, New York, 1949, p. 231.
- <sup>5</sup>Müller, I., and Ruggeri, T., *Rational Extended Thermodynamics (Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy, Vol. 37)*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, p. 19.
- <sup>6</sup>Bobylev, A. V., "The Chapman–Enskog and Grad Methods for Solving the Boltzmann Equation," *Soviet Physics Doklady*, Vol. 27, No. 1, 1998, pp. 29–31.
- <sup>7</sup>Liboff, R. L., *The Theory of Kinetic Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1969, p. 263.
- <sup>8</sup>Levermore, C. D., Morokoff, W. J., and Nadiga, B. T., "Moment Realizability and the Validity of the Navier–Stokes Equations for Rarefied Gas Dynamics," *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 10, No. 12, 1998, pp. 3214–3226.

## Resolution of Magnetogasdynamic Phenomena Using a Flux-Vector Splitting Method

Patrick W. Canupp\*

Air Force Research Laboratory,

Wright–Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433-7542

## Nomenclature

$A_p$	=	Powell's flux-vector Jacobian
$\hat{a}$	=	homogeneity variable
$\mathbf{B}$	=	magnetic field vector
$\mathbf{b}$	=	unsplit flux
$\mathbf{C}$	=	left eigenvector matrix
$\mathbf{C}^{-1}$	=	right eigenvector matrix
$c_v$	=	constant-volume specific heat
$\mathbf{F}$	=	$x$ -direction flux vector
$p$	=	pressure
$R$	=	gas constant
$R_n$	=	nose radius
$\mathbf{S}$	=	transformation Jacobian from conservative to primitive variables
$\mathbf{S}$	=	cell-face surface area
$\mathbf{S}^{-1}$	=	transformation Jacobian from primitive to conservative variables
$T$	=	temperature
$\mathbf{U}$	=	conservative variables vector

Received 7 August 2000; revision received 5 February 2001; accepted for publication 6 February 2001. Copyright © 2001 by Patrick W. Canupp. Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., with permission.

\*Visiting Research Scientist, Computational Sciences Branch; also Senior Research Associate, Ohio Aerospace Institute. Member AIAA.